



## PROSOPIS AFICANA STEM BARK AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO ANTIBIOTIC FEED ADDITIVES IN BROILER CHICKEN DIETS: PERFORMANCE AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS

Alagbe, J.O

Department of Animal Nutrition and Biochemistry, Sumitra Research Institute,  
Gujarat, India

Article Received: January 2021 Published: April 2021

---

### Abstract

The aim of the present study was to examine *Prosopis aficana* stem bark (PASB) as an alternative to antibiotic feed additives in broiler chicken diets: performance and carcass and organ weight. A total of two hundred and fifty (250) one-day old broiler chicks of Arbo-acres strain of mixed sex were allotted into five (5) treatments of 5 replicates consisting of 10 birds each in a completely randomized design. Feed and water were given ad libitum and all necessary management practices were strictly observed throughout the experiment which lasted for 56 days. The dietary treatments include a control diet (T1) with no *Prosopis aficana* stem bark. PASB was included at 200 g, 400g, 600g and 800g in Treatment 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Results obtained were used to evaluate the growth performance (weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and mortality), carcass and organ weights. Weight gains (WG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) among the treatments. However, increasing the level of *Prosopis aficana* stem bark from 200g to 800g tended to increase ADWG and ADFI. Highest mortality was recorded in T1 (1.8 %); none was recorded in the other treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ). Dressing percentage and organ weights were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) influenced by the different inclusions of PASB. No noticeable inflammation was observed in the internal organs (liver, heart, lungs, spleen and gizzard). It was concluded that PASB is rich in phytochemicals and also has significant therapeutic effects in animals and can be safely included in the diets of birds up to 800 g without any negative effect on the general performance of broiler chicks.

**Keywords:** *broiler chicks, Prosopis aficana, phytochemicals, performance, nutrients*

---

**INTRODUCTION**

The indiscriminate use of antibiotics, its residues in animal products as well as the dangers posed to human health has led to a research for alternatives that are less toxic, safe, efficient and cheap (Olafadehan et al., 2020). Among the new alternatives is the use of herbs or medicinal plants because the presence of some nutrients and phytochemicals which possess pharmacological properties like chemo preventive and cytotoxic effects (Oluwafemi et al., 2020), *Prosopis africana* is one of the numerous underexplored medicinal plant with abundant bioactive chemicals and nutrients.

*Prosopis africana* belongs to the family Fabaceae, sub-family Mimosoideae is a multipurpose trees and shrubs native to Africa/Asia (Orwa et al., 2009). The plant consists of about 44 species and it is popularly known all over the world as mesquite (Yarkwan, 2020). It is a tree with very hard wood and characterized with a deep, fast-growing tap root, probable phreatophyte with very dark and scaly bark which is orange to red brown with white streaks when slashed. The branches and twigs are thornless, leaves alternate with bipinnate leaflets in 9 – 16 pairs, oblong lanceolate (12 – 30 mm) and shortly pubescent (Burkart, 1976; Agboola, 2004). The plant grows best in areas where the mean annual temperature falls within the range 22 - 35°C, but can tolerate 18 - 40°C (Weber et al., 2008; Agboola, 2004). *Prosopis africana* has vast social, economic, cultural, medicinal and agricultural values. It is widely used and consumed in the entire country and beyond. It is very popular for its seeds, highly priced food condiment or seasoning, rich in protein, fatty acids and other vital nutrients and minerals (Ayanwuyi et al., 2010; Amusa et al., 2010; Barminas and Marina, 1998).

According to Alagbe (2021), *Prosopis africana* stem bark (PASB) contains 8.35 % moisture, 91.62 % dry matter, 4.87 % crude protein, 45.60 % crude fibre, 0.81 % ether extract, 11.52 % ash and 28.25 % carbohydrates and several bioactive chemicals such as: alkaloids, flavonoids, hydrolysable tannins, condensed tannins, oxalates, terpenoids, saponins and phenols (Anyanwuyi et al., 2010; Olorunmaiye, 2019). Scientific reports have shown that PASB has significant therapeutic effects such as: anti-bacterial (Kolapo et al., 2009), antiviral (Ajiboye et al., 2013), antioxidant (Singh, 2010), antifungal (Shruthi et al., 2010; Lersten and Horner, 2000), immunomodulatory (Vautier and Schmidt, 2007; Ahmadi et al., 2006), hepatoprotective (Pal and Manoj, 2011), cytotoxic (Khan et al., 2013), antispasmodic and neuroprotective (Prakash et al., 2002) and hypolipidemic activities (Miller et al., 2002; Prakash and Gupta, 2009). The leaves, stem and roots of *Prosopis africana* are used in traditional and folk medicine

in the treatment of malaria, stomach ache, rheumatism, tooth ache, bronchitis, arthritis and several inflammatory conditions (Ayanwuyi et al., 2010)

In view of these abundant potential, an experiment was designed to evaluate *Prosopis aficana* stem bark as an alternative to antibiotic feed additives in broiler chicken diets: performance, carcass and organ characteristics.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **STUDY AREA**

The experiment was carried out at Division of Animal Nutrition, Sumitra Research Institute, Gujarat, India during the month of April to June, 2020.

Source, collection and preparation of *Prosopis aficana* stem bark (PASB). The stem of *Prosopis aficana* stems were obtained from different plants in Gujarat, India and authenticated by a certified crop taxonomist in the institute. The stem bark were cut into pieces and thoroughly washed with distilled water, air dried under the shade for 12 days to maintain the bioactive chemicals in the test material. The dried samples were pulverized into powder using a laboratory electric blender (Panasonic: Model HD-03RL) and stored in a well labeled air tight container for further analysis.

### **PRE-EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

A deep litter housing system used for the experiment was fumigated two weeks prior to the commencement of the study, the surrounding environment was also cleaned, plastic feeders and drinkers were thoroughly washed. Foot bath was prepared at the entrance of the pen to ensure proper biosecurity.

### **EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS AND MANAGEMENT**

Two hundred and fifty (250) one-day old Arbo acres broiler chicks with mixed sex were used for this experiment. The birds were purchased from a commercial hatchery in India and weighed on arrival on the farm to obtain their initial body weight and thereafter weekly. Animals were divided to five treatments with five replicates consisting of ten (10) birds each in a completely randomized design. Electric brooders were used to supply heat and wood

shavings serve as the litter material. Vaccines were administered according to the prevailing disease condition in the environment and all other management practices were strictly adhered throughout the experiment which lasted for 56 days.

#### RATION FORMULATION

Three (3) basal diets were formulated at different stages of production to meet up with the requirements of birds according to NRC (1994). Broiler starter's mash (0-21 days), growers mash (22-35 days) and finishers mash (36-56 days).

Treatment 1: diet contained 2.5g oxytetracycline in 100 kg feed

Treatment 2: diet contained 200g PASB per 100 kg feed

Treatment 3: diet contained 400g PASB per 100 kg feed

Treatment 4: diet contained 600g PASB per 100 kg feed

Treatment 5: diet contained 800g PASB per 100 kg feed

#### DATA OBTAINED

$$\text{Weight gain (g)} = \text{final weight (FW)} - \text{initial weight (IW)}$$

$$\text{Feed intake (g)} = \text{Amount of feed consumed} - \text{remaining feed}$$

$$\text{Average daily gain (ADG)} = \frac{(\text{Final body weight} - \text{Initial body weight})}{\text{Total days of the experiment}}$$

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio (FCR)} = \text{feed intake (g)} / \text{weight gain (g)}$$

$$\% \text{ mortality} = \text{number of dead birds} / \text{total number of birds} \times 100$$

#### CARCASS EVALUATION

At the end of the experiment (56 days), two (2) birds were randomly selected per replicate for carcass evaluation; the birds were feed starved overnight, weighed, slaughtered and manually de-feathered. Weights of internal organs (liver, lungs, spleen, gizzard, heart and intestine) were recorded and the parameters below were estimated:

$$\text{Dressing \%} = \text{dress weight} / \text{live weight} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ organ/ primal cut parts} = \text{weight of primal cut or organ} / \text{live weight} \times 100$$

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data were subjected to one -way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS (23.0) and significant means were separated using Duncan multiple range tests (Duncan, 1955). Significant was declared if  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 1 Chemical composition of experimental diets**

Materials	Starter (1-21 days)	Grower (22-35 days)	Finisher (36-56 days)
Maize	50.00	58.00	60.00
Wheat offal	8.00	8.00	8.45
Soya meal	38.30	30.40	28.00
Fish meal	2.00	2.00	2.00
Bone meal	0.40	0.40	0.40
Limestone	0.25	0.25	0.20
Lysine	0.20	0.20	0.20
Methionine	0.25	0.20	0.20
Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.35	0.30	0.30
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0
Calculated analysis (%)			
Crude protein	23.59	21.93	19.37
Ether extract	5.11	5.00	4.28
Crude fibre	3.01	3.67	3.42
Calcium	1.02	1.08	1.10
Phosphorus	0.53	0.61	0.65
Lysine	1.17	1.29	1.60
Meth +Cyst	0.87	0.82	0.51
ME (Kcal/kg)	2944	3007.9	3200.2

\*Premix supplied per kg diet: - vit A, 10,000 I.U; vit E, 5mg; vit D3, 3000I.U, vit K, 3mg; vit B2, 5.5mg; Niacin, 25mg; vit B12, 16mg; choline chloride, 120mg; Mn, 5.2mg; Zn, 25mg; Cu, 2.6g; folic acid, 2mg; Fe, 5g; pantothenic acid, 10mg; biotin, 30.5g; antioxidant, 56mg.

**Table 2 Vaccination schedule for birds**

<b>Vaccine</b>	<b>Day/week</b>	<b>Route of administration</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> ND Lasota	Day 5	Drinking water
1 <sup>st</sup> IBD (Gumboro)	Day 8	Drinking water
Immucox vaccine (Coccidial vaccine)	Day 10	Drinking water
2 <sup>nd</sup> ND Lasota	Day 15	Drinking water
2 <sup>nd</sup> IBD (Gumboro)	Day 21	Drinking water
3 <sup>rd</sup> ND Lasota	Day 28	Drinking water
3 <sup>rd</sup> IBD (Gumboro)	Day 33	Drinking water

**Table 3 Proximate composition of PASB**

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>% composition</b>
Moisture (%)	8.35
Dry matter (%)	91.62
Crude protein (%)	4.87
Crude fibre (%)	45.60
Ether extract (%)	0.81
Ash (%)	11.52
Energy (Kcal/kg)	1783.8

**Table 4 Phytochemical analysis of PASB**

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Composition (%)</b>
Hydrolysable tannins	3.33
Condensed tannins	0.17
Alkaloids	5.45
Flavonoids	9.83
Terpenoids	2.10

Saponins	1.82
Phenols	4.02
Phytic acid	0.78
Oxalates	0.85

**Table 5: Performance characteristic of broiler chicks fed different levels of PASB**

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
IW (g)	41.06	41.00	41.02	40.96	41.00	0.06
FW (g)	1945.2 <sup>c</sup>	2101.0 <sup>b</sup>	2200.2 <sup>b</sup>	2400.1 <sup>a</sup>	2471.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.88
WG (g)	1904.1 <sup>c</sup>	2060.0 <sup>b</sup>	2159.2 <sup>a</sup>	2359.1 <sup>a</sup>	2430.5 <sup>a</sup>	7.09
ADWG (g)	34.00 <sup>b</sup>	36.79 <sup>b</sup>	38.55 <sup>b</sup>	42.13 <sup>a</sup>	43.40 <sup>a</sup>	1.02
F.I (g)	3900.4 <sup>b</sup>	4200.0 <sup>a</sup>	4200.1 <sup>a</sup>	4200.3 <sup>a</sup>	4200.5 <sup>a</sup>	6.60
ADFI (g)	68.43 <sup>b</sup>	70.66 <sup>a</sup>	70.64 <sup>a</sup>	70.45 <sup>a</sup>	70.29 <sup>a</sup>	0.42
FCR	2.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.94 <sup>b</sup>	1.85 <sup>b</sup>	1.70 <sup>c</sup>	1.65 <sup>c</sup>	0.17
Mortality	1.80	-	-	-	-	0.01

Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

IW: initial weight; FW: final weight; WG: weight gain; ADWG: average daily weight gain; F.I: feed intake; ADFI: average daily feed intake

**Table 6: Carcass and organ characteristics of broiler chicks fed different levels of PASB**

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM
Live weight (g)	1900.3 <sup>c</sup>	2005.1 <sup>b</sup>	2218.0 <sup>b</sup>	2300.1 <sup>a</sup>	2390.7 <sup>a</sup>	8.11
Dressed weight (g)	1485.6 <sup>c</sup>	1700.1 <sup>b</sup>	1833.3 <sup>b</sup>	1966.1 <sup>a</sup>	1989.0 <sup>a</sup>	10.19
Dressed %	78.10 <sup>b</sup>	84.80 <sup>a</sup>	83.00 <sup>a</sup>	86.00 <sup>a</sup>	83.10 <sup>a</sup>	2.95
Head (%)	1.51 <sup>b</sup>	2.08 <sup>a</sup>	2.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.66 <sup>a</sup>	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.43
Neck (%)	2.94 <sup>c</sup>	3.19 <sup>b</sup>	3.20 <sup>b</sup>	4.71 <sup>a</sup>	3.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.04
Thigh (%)	8.22 <sup>b</sup>	11.02 <sup>a</sup>	11.08 <sup>a</sup>	11.23 <sup>a</sup>	11.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.07
Back (%)	14.11 <sup>c</sup>	19.18 <sup>b</sup>	21.04 <sup>a</sup>	22.18 <sup>a</sup>	21.38 <sup>a</sup>	3.27
Shank (%)	3.10 <sup>b</sup>	6.11 <sup>a</sup>	6.28 <sup>a</sup>	6.87 <sup>a</sup>	6.54 <sup>a</sup>	1.94
Breast muscle (%)	19.80 <sup>c</sup>	24.19 <sup>b</sup>	24.00 <sup>b</sup>	24.10 <sup>b</sup>	26.08 <sup>a</sup>	4.04
Wings (%)	5.05 <sup>c</sup>	9.11 <sup>b</sup>	10.59 <sup>a</sup>	10.89 <sup>a</sup>	11.20 <sup>a</sup>	1.22
Organ performance (%)						
Liver	1.97 <sup>b</sup>	2.11 <sup>b</sup>	2.74 <sup>b</sup>	3.44 <sup>a</sup>	2.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.16
Heart	0.40 <sup>c</sup>	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>b</sup>	0.23
Lungs	0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.47
Spleen	0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.08
Gizzard	2.88 <sup>b</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.18 <sup>a</sup>	3.13 <sup>a</sup>	3.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.02

Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 revealed the chemical composition of experimental diet. The feeding was in 3 phases which consists of starter mash (0-21 weeks) and it contained 23.59 % crude protein, 3.01 % crude fibre, 5.11 % ether extract, 1.02 % calcium, 0.53 % phosphorus and 2944.0 kcal/kg energy while growers mash was fed between 22-35 days and it contained 21.93 % crude protein, 5.00 % ether extract, 3.67 % crude fibre, 1.08 % calcium, 0.51 % phosphorus and 3007.9 kcal/kg (energy). Finishers mash (36-56 days) contained 9.37 crude protein, ether extract (4.28 %), crude fibre (3.42 %), calcium (1.10 %), phosphorus (0.65 %) and energy (3200.2 Kcal/kg) respectively. The crude protein, crude fibre and ether extracts in all the diets conforms to the findings of Musa et al. (2020); Olafadehan et al., 2020; Aduku, 2004 in feeding broilers. The calcium and phosphorus is in line with the recommended range by NRC (1994). The energy values obtained in this study are in agreement with the values obtained by Oluwafemi et al. (2020) who examined the effect of supplementing neem oil (*Azadirachta indica*) in the diets of broiler chicken. Vaccines were administered according to the prevailing vaccination schedule in the environment; all the vaccines (ND and IBD) were given to birds orally as presented in Table 2.

The proximate composition of PASB is presented in Table 3. *Prosopis africana* stem bark (PASB) contains moisture (8.35 %), dry matter (91.92 %), crude protein (4.87 %), crude fibre (45.60 %), ether extract (0.81 %), ash (11.35 %) and energy (1783.8 kcal/kg) respectively. The result obtained in this study is in agreement with the reports of Alagbe (2021) who examined the proximate, phytochemical and vitamin composition PASB. This result suggests that PASB is low in protein, thus it cannot be used as a protein supplement in livestock diets especially broilers that require high protein in their feed, and this result is in conformity with the findings of NRC (1994). Similarly, the sample contained low energy content which is contrary to the reports of Madubuike and Ekenyem (2006); Shittu et al. (2019). The crude fibre and ether extract values obtained are lower than the values reported by Olanipekun et al. (2016) for *Morinda lucida* stem bark (53.49 %). Higher ash content in PASB is an indication that the sample is abundant in minerals. Adequate dietary intake in animals enhances several biochemical reaction, physiological functioning of the body as well as enzyme activation (Ojewuyi et al., 2014; Alagbe, 2020).



The proximate composition of *Prosopis aficana* stem bark is presented in Table 4. Phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of hydrolysable tannins (3.33 %), condensed tannins (0.17 %), alkaloids (5.45 %), flavonoids (9.83 %), terpenoids (2.10 %), saponins (1.82 %), phenols (4.02 %), phytic acid (0.78 %) and oxalates (0.85 %) respectively. In order of abundance flavonoids > alkaloids > phenols > hydrolysable tannins > terpenoids > saponins > oxalates > phytic acid > condensed tannins. The result obtained in this study in accordance with the findings of Ezike et al. (2010); Shittu et al. (2020); Olueafemi et al. (2020). Phytochemical are bioactive chemicals which enable plants to perform multiple biological activities (antimicrobial, antiviral, antioxidant, antifungal etc.) and have physiological actions on the body (Olowokudejo et al., 2008; Okigbo et al., 2008), their concentrations depends on differences in plant species, plant parts (seeds, leaf, root and stem bark), age of plants, methods of extraction, soil type and seasons (Newton, 1994; Chandralega et al., 2015).

Performance characteristics of broiler chicks of broiler chicks fed different levels of *Prosopis aficana* stem bark (PASB) is presented in Table 5. The initial body weight (IW), final weight (FW), weight gain (WG), average daily weight gain (ADWG) and feed intake (FI) ranged between 40.96 – 41.06 g, 1945.2 – 2471.5 g, 1904.1 – 2430.5, 34.00 – 43.40 g and 3900 g – 4200.5 g respectively. FW, WG, ADWG and FI were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) among the treatments, the values obtained in this study follow similar pattern and were highest in T4 and T5, intermediate in T2 and T3 and lowest in T1 ( $P < 0.05$ ). Body weight gain and feed conversion ratio were significantly influenced when PASB was added to the diets at 600 g and 800 g respectively; it could possibly be attributed to the presence of some phytochemicals in PASB as earlier reported by Alagbe (2021). This result obtained is in agreement with the findings of Khattak et al. (2014) who examined the effect of natural blend of essential oil in the diet of broiler chickens. Increasing PASB in the diet of birds increased feed intake by improving palatability of diet possibly due to enhanced flavor and odor (Omokore and Alagbe, 2019; Huyghebaert et al., 2011). Highest mortality was recorded in T1 (1.80 %) and none were recorded in the other treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ). The presence of flavonoids in high concentration in PASB could confer it the ability to act as anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities, thus scavenging free radicals, thus preventing infections (Okwu and Josiah, 2006; Sexena et al., 2012; Oluwafemi et al., 2020). The test material is also capable of modulating the gut of the animals and prevents the entry of pathogenic bacteria (Gopi et al., 2014; Farag et al., 2016).

Table 6 reveals the carcass and organ characteristics of broiler chicks fed different level of PASB. Dress (%), head, neck, thigh, back, shank, breast muscle and wings ranged between 78.10 – 83.10 %, 1.51 – 2.66 %, 8.22 – 11.84 %, 14.11 – 22.18 %, 19.80 – 26.08 % and 5.05 – 11.20 % respectively. Weights of the liver, heart, lungs, spleen and gizzard ranged between 1.97 – 2.63 %, 0.40 – 0.88 %, 0.57 – 0.87 %, 0.09 – 0.10 % and 2.88 – 3.45 % respectively. All the parameters were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) among the treatments. No noticeable inflammations were observed in the organs, which is an indication that anti-nutrients in PASB were below lethal levels reported by Alagbe and Oluwafemi (2019). According to Shittu et al. (2017) organ weight are influenced by age of birds, sex, breed as well as presence of toxic substance in feed (nutrition). However, the result obtained in this study is in agreement with the reports of Soltan et al. (2008); Jamoz et al. (2003) who evaluated the influence of phyto-genic extracts on performance, nutrient digestibility and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens.

## **CONCLUSION**

One of the ways of ensuring food safety, increasing healthy poultry production to meet the growing demand globally and reduce the high cases of antibiotic resistance diagnosed in human and animals is the use of medicinal plants. They are effective with no side effects; *Prosopis africana* stem bark has proven to be effective in livestock feed due to the presence of bioactive chemicals and it can be included up to 800g in the diet of broiler chicks without any negative effect on the general performance of the animal.

## **FUNDING**

This research received no external funding.

## **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

Olafadehan, O.A., Oluwafemi, R.A and Alagbe, J.O. (2020). Performance, haemato-biochemical parameters of broiler chicks administered Rolfe (*Daniellia oliveri*) leaf extract as an antibiotic alternative. *Advances in Research and Reviews*, 2020, 1:4.

A.O.A.C.(2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis 19<sup>th</sup> Edition Washington, D.C Pages 69-77.

Aduku, A.O (2004). Animal nutrition in the tropics: Feeds and feeding in monogastric and ruminant nutrition. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 13: 628-638.

Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics 11(1):1-42.

National Research Council (1994). Nutrient requirement of poultry 9<sup>th</sup> Rev Edn, Washington D.C. National Academy Press.

Musa, B., Alagbe, J.O., Adegbite Motunrade Betty, Omokore, E.A. (2020). Growth performance, caeca microbial population and immune response of broiler chicks fed aqueous extract of *Balanites aegyptiaca* and *Alchornea cordifolia* stem bark mixture. *United Journal for Research and Technology*, 2(2):13-21.

Olafadehan, O.A., Oluwafemi, R.A and Alagbe, J.O. (2020). Carcass quality, nutrient retention and caeca microbial population of broiler chicks administered Rolfe (*Daniellia oliveri*) leaf extract as an antibiotic alternative. *Journal of Drug Discovery*. 14(33):146-154.

Oluwafemi, R.A., Oluwayinka, E.O and Alagbe, J.O. (2020). Effect of dietary supplementation of neem oil (*Azadirachta indica*) on the growth performance and nutrient digestibility of weaned rabbits. *European Journal of Biotechnology and Bioscience*. 8(5): 6-10.

Alagbe, J.O (2020). Chemical evaluation of proximate, vitamin and amino acid profile of leaf, stem bark and roots of *Indigofera tinctoria*. *International Journal on Integrated Education*. 3(10): 150-157.

Olanipekun, M.K., Adewuyi, D and Adedeji, D.E (2016). Ethnobotanical importance and phytochemical analyses of some selected medicinal plants in Ado-Ekiti Local Govt. Area. *Journal of Herbal Medicine Research*, 1(3):0007-0016.

Ojewuyi, O.B., Ajiboye, T.O., Adebajo, E.O., Balogun, A and Mohammed, A.O. (2014). Proximate composition, phytochemical and mineral contents of young and mature *Polyalthia longifolia* Sonn. Leaves. *Fountain Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*, 3(1):10-19.

Shittu, M.D., Adesina, G.O and Eseigbe, S. (2019). Productive performance and blood profile of weaner rabbit fed inclusion levels of *Ipeomea asarifolia* leaf meal in replacement of Soyabean meal. *Journal of Biotechnology Research*, 5(11): 107-112.

Madubuike, F.N and Ekenyem, B.U. (2006). Haematology and serum biochemistry characteristics of broiler chicks fed varying dietary levels of *Ipeomea asarifolia* leaf meal. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 5(1): 9-12.

Alagbe, J.O., Shittu, M.D., Bamigboye, S.O and Oluwatobi, A.O. (2019). Proximate and mineral composition of *Pentadiplandra brazzeana* stems bark. *Electronic Research Journal of Engineering, Computer and Applied Sciences*. 1(2009): 91-99.

Shittu, M.D., Adejumo, D.O., Ewuola, E.O., Alaba, O., Alagbe, J.O and Ojebiyi, O.O. (2020). Gut morphometric characteristic and ecological response of broiler starter fed varied levels of protein. *Asian Journal of Animal Science*, 14(1):33-39.

Oluwafemi, R.A., Isiaka Olawale and Alagbe, J.O. (2020). Recent trends in the utilization of medicinal plants as growth promoters in poultry nutrition- A review. *Research in: Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences*. 4(1): 5-11

Ezike, A.C., Akah, P.A., Okoli, S., Udegbunam, S., Okwume, N and Iloani, O. (2010). Medicinal plants used in wound care: A study of *Prosopis africana* (Fabaceae) stem bark. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Science*. 72(3):334-339.

Chandralega, N., Subha, D and Geetha, N. (2015). Impact of geographical factors on the proximate composition of *Rosmarinus officinalis* leaves. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Business Management*, 3(4): 1-9.

Newton, B.W. (1994). The nutritive value of legumes in forage tree legumes in Tropical Agriculture, Gutteride, R.C and Shelton, H.M (Ed.) CAB International 202: 215-252.

Okigbo, R.N., Eme, U.E and Ogbogu, S. (2008). Biodiversity and conservation of medicinal and aromatic plants in Africa. *Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Revision*, 3(6): 127-134.

Olowokudejo, J.D., Kadiri, A.B., Travih, V.A. (2008). An ethnobotanical survey of herbal markets and medicinal plants in Lagos State of Nigeria. *Ethnobotanical Leaflets*. 12:851-865.

Omokore, E.O and Alagbe, J.O. (2019). Efficacy of dried *Phyllanthus amarus* leaf meal as an herbal feed additive on the growth performance, haematology and serum biochemistry of growing rabbits. *International Journal of Academic Research and Development*. 4(3): 97-104.

Khattak, F., Ronchi, A., Castelli, P and Sparks, N. (2014). Effect of natural blend of essential oil on the growth performance, blood biochemistry, caeca morphology and carcass quality of broiler chickens. *Journal of Poultry Science*, 93: 132-137.

Huyghebaeri, G., Ducatelle, R.V and Immerseel, F. (2011). An update on alternatives to antimicrobial growth promoters for broilers. *Veterinary Journal* 187: 182-188.

Farag, M.R., Alagawany, M and Tufarelli, V. (2016). In vitro antioxidant activities of resveratrol cinnamaldehyde and their synergistic effect against cyadox induced cytotoxicity in rabbit erythrocytes. *Drug Chem. Toxicol*. 17:1-10.

Gopi, M., Karthik, K., Manjunathachar, H.V and Purushothaman, M.R. (2014). Essential oils as a feed additive in poultry nutrition, *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci*. 2:1-7.

Okwu, D.E and Josiah, C. (2006). Evaluation of the chemical composition of two Nigerian medicinal plants. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 4: 357-361.

Sexena, M., Nema, J., Nema, R., Singh, D and Gupta, A. (2013). Phytochemistry of medicinal plants. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry Centre for Microbiology and Biotechnology Research Training, Bhopal India*. 8192 (1): 168-182.

Alagbe, J.O and Oluwafemi, R.A. (2019). Performance and haematological parameters of broiler chicks gives different levels of dried lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) and garlic (*Allium sativum*) extract. *Research in: Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences*. 3(2): 102 – 111.

Oluwafemi, R.A., Akinbisola, S.A and Alagbe, J.O. (2020). Nutritional and growth performance of feeding *Polylathia longifolia* Leaf Meal as partial replacement of Wheat Offal in the diet of broiler chicks. *European Journal of Biotechnology and Bioscience*. 8(4): 17-21.

Soltan, M.A., Shewita, R.S., El-Katcha, M.I. (2008). Effect of dietary anise seeds supplementation on growth performance, immune response, carcass traits and blood parameters of broiler chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 7: 1078-1088.

Jamoz, D., Orda, J., Kamel, C., Wiliczkiwicz, T., Wertelecki, T and Skorupinska, J. (2003). The influence of pyrogenic extracts on performance, nutrient digestibility and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *J. Anim. Feed. Sci.* 12: 583-596.

Baturh, Y. (2020). Phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of dried *Prosopis Africana*. *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*. 14(8): 359-365.

Orwa, C., Mutua, A., Kindt, R., Jamnadass, R and Anthony, S. (2009). Agroforestry Database: A tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sites/treesbs/treedatabases.asp>

Ayanwuyi, L.O., Yaro, A.H. and Abodunde, O.M.(2010).“Analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects of methanol stem bark extract of *Prosopis Africana*”. *Pharmaceutical Biological*, 48(3): 296-299.

Amusa, T.O., Jimoh, S.O., Aridanzi, P. and Haruna, M.A. (2010). “Ethnobotany and conservation of plant resources of kainji lake national park, Nigeria” .*Ethnobotany Research and Application*, 8 : 18-194

Olorunmaiye, K.S., Apeh, L.E., Madandola, H.A and Oguntoye, M.O. (2019). Proximate and phytochemical composition of African mahogany (*Azalia africana*) seed and African mesquite (*Prosopis africana*) pod. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*. 23(2): 249-252.

Ayanwuyi, L.O., Abdullahi, H.Y and Olajumoke, M.A. (2010). Analgesics and anti-inflammatory effects of the methanol stem bark extract of *Prosopis africana*. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 48(3): 296-299.

Miller, N.J and Larrea, M.B.R. (2002). Flavonoids and other plant phenols in the diet: their significance as antioxidants. *Journal of Nutrition and Environmental Med.* 12(1): 39-51.

Prakash, D and Gupta, K.R. (2009). The antioxidant phytochemicals of nutraceutical importance. *The open Nutraceutical Journal*. 2: 20-35.

Pal, R.K and Manoj, J. (2011). Hepatoprotective activity of alcoholic and aqueous extracts of fruits of *Luffa cylindrical* in rats. *Ann. Bio. Res.* 2:132-141.

Agboola, A. (2004). *Prosopis africana*: stem, roots and seeds in the economy of the savannah areas of Nigeria. *Economy Botany*, 58(4): 34-42.

Burkart, A. (1976). A monograph of the genus *Prosopis* (Mimosoideae). *Journal of Arnold Arboretum*. 57: 216-525.

Khan, K.W., Ahmed, S.W and Ahmed, S. (2013). Analgesic activity of leaves, flowers and fruit peel of *Luffa cylindrical* (L.). *Pharmanes* 4: 1401-1408.

Prakash, A., Ng, T.B and Tso, W.W. (2002). Isolation and characterization of Lufacylin a ribosome inactivating peptide with antifungal activity from sponge gourd seeds. *Peptides* 23: 1019-1024.

Ajiboye AA, Agboola DA, Fadimu OY, Afolabi AO (2013). Antibacterial, phytochemical and proximate analysis of *Prosopis africana* (Linn) seed and pod extract. *FUTA Journal of Research in Sciences* 1:101- 109

Barminas JT, Marina HM, Ali J (1998). Nutrient content of *Prosopis africana* seeds. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* 52(4):325-328.

Weber JC, Larwanou M, Abasse TA, Kallinganre A (2008). Growth and survival of *Prosopis africana* provenances tested in Niger and related rainfall gradients in the West African Sahel. *Forest Ecology and Management* 256(4):585-592

Kolapo AL, Okunade MB, Adejumobi JA, Ogunidiya MO (2009). Phytochemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Prosopis africana* against some selected oral pathogens. *World Journal of Agricultural Science* 5(1):90-93.

Vautier, H.S.M., Schmidt L. (2007). *Prosopis africana* ( Guill. & Perr.) Taub. Seed Leaflet, 132:1-4

Lersten, N., Horner, HT. (2000). Calcium oxalate crystals types and trends in their distribution patterns in leaves of *Prunus* (Rosaceae: Prunoideae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, 224: p. 83 - 96

Shruthi S.D, R., Y.L, Padmalatha Rai, Prashant KumarJha. (2010). Pharmacognostic Evaluation of The Leaves of *Kirganelia reticulata* Baill.(Euphorbiaceae). *The Asian and Australasian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology*. 4(1): 62 -65.

Singh, M.P.S., C S, Pharmognostical evaluation of *Terminalia Chebula* fruits on different market samples. *International Journal of Chemical Technology*, 2010(2): 57-61.

Alagbe, J.O (2020). Proximate, phytochemical and vitamin compositions of *Prosopis africana* stem bark. *European Journal of Agricultural and Rural Education*. 1(4): 1-7.

---

Cite this article:

Alagbe, J.O, "Prosopis africana Stem Bark as an alternative to antibiotic feed additives in broiler chicken diets: performance and carcass characteristics", *Journal of Multidimensional Research and Review (JMRR)*, Vol.2, Iss.1, pp.64-77, 2021